

5th

World Bronchiectasis & NTM Conference

HYBRID FORMAT



EMBARC


The European Bronchiectasis Registry

Organising Secretariat



Publi Créations

Statistics



PRAGUE
30 JUNE – 2 JULY 2022

www.world-bronchiectasis-conference.org

Key Indicators

WBNC 2020 – Virtual edition

460
Participants

47 **Nationalities**

55
Speakers

5
Continents

76 **Abstracts**


36 **Endorsers**

Thank you !





Key Indicators over editions




	WBC 2016 - Hannover	WBC 2017 - Mian	WBC 2018 - Washington	WBNC 2020 - Virtual Edition	WBNC 2022 - Prague
Abstracts	70	114	25	71	76
Participants	250	416	300	403	460



Trends on registrations and active participation



Participants per type of interaction




Before the congress starting date

At the end of the congress


Registration trend (on – site vs virtual)

Early Reg. Fee
deadline






Registrations




Supported by the Congress Registrations

Sponsors
(included in
packages)
11%



Paying Registrations

Supported
Group
19%






Active participants per type of reg. fee.

Some participants registered but did not attend the live sessions.

This trend is almost the same for paying participants and supported by the congress ones






Active participant: self paying vs sponsored participants


Some paying participants registered but did not attend the live sessions.

This trend more important for participants sponsored by company (paying but not self paying)





Active participants per type of interaction



Some participants registered but did not attend the live sessions.

This trend is definitely more important for virtual participants.



Registrations trends: conclusions


- Participants tends to register later and later.
13% of the final registrations arrived the same week of the event
- At the early fee deadline (4 weeks to go) only 57% of participants (both paying and free) were registered
- Active attendance is definitively prevalent in on-site participants (98% of active participation vs registered participants) in comparison with virtual ones (67%)
- Active attendance is prevalent in self-paying participants (90% of active participation vs registered participants) in comparison with sponsored ones (62%)
- Around 18% of people registering (both paying or free) do not attend the conference



Demography




Participants per Continent






Participants per Continent (on-site vs virtual participation)




Participants per Nationality






Participants per European Countries (on-site vs virtual participation)




Participants per Specialties






Participants per age group and gender





Perceived Quality

Participants quality evaluation (on 5)




Participants quality feedbacks on Round Tables

Round Table Feedback	How would you rank it?	Would you be so kind to give feedbacks on your experience?	Are there any suggestions you would make to improve it?
Difficult to treat NTM	5. Excellent	Practical information on how to handle difficult cases. Nice to see how EU do thing differently from US.	The experts pretty well know what makes NTM difficult
	5. Excellent	Meeting not too big so very easy to discuss with expert, really a great moment. The Welcome and attention was great.	-
Management of Exacerbations in bronchiectasis	5. Excellent	really enjoyed the good discussions and interactivity with the audience, PJ asked the audience on tips for her Dx and Rx algorithm to make even more better, that's really nice to share global opinions/ expertise to manage patients better	Time was gone fast, may be a bit more time could be arranged for a next one (depending on the size of the audience)
	5. Excellent	very interactive with audience (many thanks to Tony De Soyza). PJ and Adam were great	I see nothing that could be improved except for the logistics to find the right room 🤔
	4. Very good	Good unstructured section and good guided voting to set of debate	Very happy to have it repeated as it was ; Audience could bring more cases to help
Difficult to treat pathogens	4. Very good	it was nicer with 2 people leading a session as becomes more interactive and ice breaking, but Charles did a great job	No discussion at all regarding viruses, which I thought was weird, even if there are really no effective treatments (but social distancing 🙅)
	5. Excellent	Really good session	-
	5. Excellent	The talk was informal with lots of interaction and food for thought. I definitely left it feeling very inspired.	I presented a case myself and would have liked to see other cases presented from delegates.

5th World Bronchiectasis & NTM Conference

PRAGUE
30 JUNE – 2 JULY 2022
HYBRID FORMAT




ENDORSEMENTS



MEDIA PARTNER





ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Organizing and Scientific Committees of the
5th World Bronchiectasis & NTM Conference
would like to express their gratitude to:

MAJOR PARTNER



PARTNERS




SUPPORTERS



GRANT





A GREAT THANKS


The committees are particularly grateful to:



GRIFOLS



3RD EUROPEAN BRONCHIECTASIS WORKSHOP



NTM
Bronchiectasis

Organising Committee:

Stefano Aliberti *(Italy)*

Francesco Blasi *(Italy)*

James D. Chalmers *(UK)*

MILAN – ITALY

FEBRUARY 24TH-25TH, 2023

Powered by



Publi Créations

Organised by



www.ntmbronchiectasis.org



World Bronchiectasis & NTM Conference



PRAGUE

30 JUNE – 2 JULY 2022

HYBRID FORMAT



EMBARC
The European Bronchiectasis Registry

Organising Secretariat



Publi Créations

www.world-bronchiectasis-conference.org